arXiv:1506.00155v1 [math.CA] 30 May 2015

ON NEARLY RADIAL PRODUCT FUNCTIONS

MICHAEL CHRIST

Abstract. If $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$ and if the function $f(x)f(y)$ is close in L^2 norm to a radially symmetric function of (x, y) then f is close in L^2 norm to a centered Gaussian function. A quantitative form of this assertion is established.

1. Statement of principal result

It is well known that if $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ then the function

$$(f \otimes f)(x, y) = f(x)f(y)$$

with domain $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is radially symmetric if and only if f is a radial complex Gaussian function, by which mean a function $G : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$G(x) = ce^{-\gamma|x|^2} \text{ where } c, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In this note we establish a quantitative version of this uniqueness statement.

Denote by $\mathfrak{G} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of all square integrable complex radial Gaussian functions. By a radially symmetric function Lebesgue measurable function we mean one of the form $f(x) = h(|x|)$ almost everywhere. Denote by $\mathbb{P} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ the orthogonal projection onto the subspace of all radially symmetric L^2 functions. For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, denote by $f \otimes g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ the function

$$(f \otimes g)(x, y) = f(x)g(y). \tag{1.1}$$

Then for nonzero functions f, g, $\|\mathbb{P}(f \otimes g)\|_2 \le \|f\|_2\|g\|_2$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with equality if and only if f is a complex radial Gaussian and g is a scalar multiple of f, up to redefinition on sets of Lebesgue measure zero.

$L^2 \times L^2$ denotes the Hilbert space of all ordered pairs of functions (f, g) with both $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with norm squared

$$\|(f, g)\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2. \tag{1.2}$$

Define $\mathfrak{G}^\times \subset \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to be

$$\mathfrak{G}^\times = \{(F, cF) : F \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ and } 0 \ne c \in \mathbb{C}\}. \tag{1.3}$$

We regard $L^2 \times L^2$ as a Hilbert space with norm defined by $\|(f, g)\|^2 = \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2$, of which $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ is a closed subspace. The distance squared in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ from (f, g) to $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{dist}\left((f, g), \mathfrak{G}^\times\right)^2 = \inf_{(F,cF)\in\mathfrak{G}^\times} \left(\|f - F\|_2^2 + \|g - cF\|_2^2\right). \tag{1.4}$$

Date: April 19, 2015.

Research supported by NSF grant DMS-1363324.

Theorem 1.1. *For each* $d \geq 1$ *there exists* $c_d > 0$ *such that for all* $(f,g) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *satisfying* $\|f\|_2 = \|g\|_2 = 1$,

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f \otimes g)\|_2 \leq 1 - c_d \operatorname{dist}((f,g), \mathfrak{G}^\times)^2. \tag{1.5}$$

There exists $C_d < \infty$ *such that whenever* $0 \neq (f,g) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *satisfy* $\|f\|_2 = \|g\|_2 = 1$,

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f \otimes g)\|_2 \leq 1 - \tfrac{d}{2(d+1)} \operatorname{dist}((f,g), \mathfrak{G}^\times)^2 + C_d \operatorname{dist}((f,g), \mathfrak{G}^\times)^3. \tag{1.6}$$

Other recent papers in which quantitative stability theorems in this spirit are proved, for other inequalities, include [1], [2], [3], [4], [5].

The author is indebted to Jonathan Bennett for posing the question, and for valuable conversations and correspondence.

2. Some notation

The notation $\|f\|$ with no subscript indicates the L^2 norm, over either $\mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and for functions taking values either in $\mathbb{C}$ or in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, with respect to Lebesgue measure.

For $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, denote by σ_r the unique probability measure on $S_r = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : |z| = r\}$ that is invariant under rotations of $\mathbb{R}^{2d} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. For $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{P}(f \otimes g)(z) = \iint f(x) g(y) \, d\sigma_{|z|}(x,y). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\omega_d \in \mathbb{R}^+$ denote the measure of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. For each dimension $d \geq 1$, for any Lebesgue measurable subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with finite measures,

$$|A| \cdot |B| = |A \times B| = \omega_d \int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A \times B) \, r^{2d-1} \, dr \tag{2.2}$$

and

(2.3)

$$\|\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_B)\|^2 = \omega_d \int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A \times B)^2 \, r^{2d-1} \, dr. \tag{2.4}$$

For any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, let $\mathcal{A}_E = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : |z| \in E\}$. Then

$$\langle \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_B), \, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_E} \rangle = \omega_d \int_E \sigma_r(A \times B) \, r^{2d-1} \, dr. \tag{2.5}$$

For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ define

$$\mu(E) = |\mathcal{A}_E| = \omega_d \int_E r^{2d-1} \, dr. \tag{2.6}$$

3. Preliminary lemmas

The orthogonal projection $\mathbb{P}$ is a bounded linear operator, indeed a contraction, from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ A stronger form of boundedness will be proved in this section. For $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in (0, \infty)^3$ define

$$\Lambda(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \min_{i \neq j} \frac{a_i}{a_j}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exists an exponent* $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *with the following property. Let* $d \geq 1$. *There exists* $C < \infty$ *such that for any Lebesgue measurable sets* $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ *with positive, finite measures, if* $\mathcal{A}$ *is radially symmetric then*

$$\langle \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_B),\, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle \leq C\Lambda(|A|, |B|, |\mathcal{A}|^{1/2})^{\gamma} \cdot |A|^{1/2}|B|^{1/2}|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

This will be a consequence of the next three lemmas. Since $(f,g) \mapsto f \otimes g$ is an isometry from $L^2 \times L^2$ into L^2, and $\mathbb{P}$ is a contraction on L^2, one has $\langle \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_B),\, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle \leq |A|^{1/2}|B|^{1/2}|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}$ for all Lebesgue measurable sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+d}$. Lemma 3.1 improves on this trivial bound, unless $|A|, |B|$ are comparable and $|\mathcal{A}|$ is comparable to $|A| \cdot |B|$.

Lemma 3.2.

$$\sigma_r(A \times B) \leq C \min(1, r^{-d}|A|, r^{-d}|B|)^{1/2}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. $\sigma_r(A \times B) = \sigma(r^{-1}A \times r^{-1}B)$ where $tE = \{tx : x \in E\}$. Since $|r^{-1}E| = r^{-d}|E|$ for $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, it suffices to treat the case $r = 1$. It also suffices to treat the case in which $|A| \leq |B|$. Thus it suffices to show that $\sigma(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) \leq C|A|^{1/2}$ for any Lebesgue measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $|A| \leq 1$.

One has

$$\sigma(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = c_d \int_A (1 - |x|^2)^{(d-2)/2}\, dx.$$

This gives $\sigma(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) \leq c|A|^{1/2}$ for $d = 1$, and $\leq C_d|A|^1$ for $d \geq 2$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $d \geq 1$. *There exists* $C_d < \infty$ *such that for any Lebesgue measurable sets* $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *with positive, finite measures,*

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A \times B)^2\, r^{2d-1}\, dr \leq C_d \min(|A|/|B|,\, |B|/|A|)^{1/5} \cdot |A| \cdot |B|. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $|A| \leq |B|$. Define ρ by

$$\rho^d = |A|^{3/5}|B|^{2/5}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A \times B)^2\, r^{2d-1}\, dr &\lesssim \int_0^\rho r^{2d-1}\, dr + \int_\rho^\infty (r^{-d}|A|)^{1/2}\sigma_r(A \times B)\, r^{2d-1}\, dr \\
&\lesssim \rho^{2d} + \rho^{-d/2}|A|^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A \times B)\, r^{2d-1}\, dr \\
&\lesssim \rho^{2d} + \rho^{-d/2}|A|^{1/2} \cdot |A| \cdot |B| \\
&= 2|A|^{6/5}|B|^{4/5}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *For any dimension* $d \geq 1$ *there exists* $C_d < \infty$ *such that for any Lebesgue measurable sets* $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *and any radially symmetric Lebesgue measurable set* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\langle \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_B), \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle \leq C_d \min\left(\frac{|A| \cdot |B|}{|\mathcal{A}|},\, \frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{|A| \cdot |B|}\right)^{1/6} |A|^{1/2}|B|^{1/2}|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_E$ where $E \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $|\mathcal{A}| = \mu(E)$ where the measure μ is as defined in (2.6). We already know that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E \sigma_r(A\times B)\, r^{2d-1}\, dr \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma_r(A\times B)\, r^{2d-1}\, dr = \omega_d^{-1}|A|\cdot|B| \\ \le C(|A|\cdot|B|\mu(E)^{-1})^{1/2}\cdot |A|^{1/2}|B|^{1/2}\mu(E)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

This provides a stronger upper bound than stated when $\mu(E) \ge |A|\cdot|B|$.

Assume without loss of generality that $|A| \le |B|$. Set $E^- = \{r \in E : r \le |A|^{1/d}\}$ and $E^+ = E \setminus E^-$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E \sigma_r(A\times B)\, r^{2d-1}\, dr &\le C\int_E \min(1, r^{-d}|A|)^{1/2}\, r^{2d-1}\, dr \\ &\le C|A|^{1/2}\int_{E^+} r^{-d/2}\, r^{2d-1}\, dr + C\int_{E^-} r^{2d-1}\, dr \\ &= C|A|^{1/2}\int_{|A|^{1/d}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_E(r) r^{-d/2}\, r^{2d-1}\, dr + C'\mu(E^-)\end{aligned}$$

Apply Hölder's inequality with exponents 3 and $\frac{3}{2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|A|^{1/d}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_E(r) r^{-d/2}\, r^{2d-1}\, dr &\le (\int_{|A|^{1/d}}^{\infty} r^{-3d/2} r^{2d-1}\, dr)^{1/3} (\int_E r^{2d-1}\, dr)^{2/3} \\ &= C|A|^{1/6}\mu(E)^{2/3}\end{aligned}$$

where $C < \infty$ depends only on the dimension d. If $\mu(E) \le |A|\cdot|B|$ we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E \sigma_r(A\times B)\, r^{2d-1}\, dr &\le C|A|^{2/3}\mu(E)^{2/3} + C\mu(E) \\ &\le C|A|^{1/3}|B|^{1/3}\mu(E)^{2/3} = (\mu(E)/|A|\cdot|B|)^{1/6}\cdot|A|^{1/2}|B|^{1/2}\mu(E)^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

□

Lemma 3.1 is a straightforward combination of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. □

Denote by $L^{p,q}$ the Lorentz spaces, as defined in [7]. The next result is a simple consequence of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.5. *For any dimension $d \ge 1$ there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \le C\|f\|_{L^{2,4}}\|g\|_{L^{2,4}}. \tag{3.6}$$

The space $L^{2,4}$ is strictly larger than L^2, so this strengthens the $L^2 \otimes L^2 \to L^2$ boundedness of $\mathbb{P}$.

4. COMPACTNESS

In this section we establish a preliminary, nonquantitative formulation of Theorem 1.1. Although this formulation is entirely superseded by the final result, its proof is an essential part of the reasoning.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $d \ge 1$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $0 \ne f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \ge (1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\| \implies \operatorname{dist}(f, \mathfrak{G}) \le \varepsilon\|f\|. \tag{4.1}$$

The proof involves a compactness argument and consequently yields no control over the dependence of δ on ε.

The hypotheses are unchanged under interchange of f with g, so likewise $\operatorname{dist}(g,\mathfrak{G}) \le \varepsilon\|g\|$. A stronger conclusion holds, and will be proved below: There exist a common element $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ and scalars $a,b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that both $\|f-aG\| < \varepsilon\|f\|$ and $\|g-bG\| < \varepsilon\|g\|$.

Proposition 4.1, together with the second conclusion of Theorem 1.1, implies the first conclusion (1.5); the second conclusion (1.6) will be proved in §5 and §6.

An important property of the inequality $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \le \|f\|\|g\|$ is its dilation-invariance. Thus if $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $f,g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then the dilated functions $\tilde f(x) = f(\rho x)$ and $\tilde g(x) = g(\rho x)$ satisfy

$$\frac{\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\|}{\|f\|\|g\|} = \frac{\|\mathbb{P}(\tilde f\otimes \tilde g)\|}{\|\tilde f\|\|\tilde g\|}. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $d \ge 1$. There exists a continuous function $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to 0}\Theta(t) = 0$ with the following property. For any $\delta > 0$, $t \in (0,1]$, and any $f,g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfy $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \ge (1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$, there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the modified function $f^*(x) = \rho^{d/2} f(\rho x)$ satisfies*

$$\int_{|f^*(x)|\ge t^{-1}\|f\|} |f^*(x)|^2\,dx \le \Theta(t+\delta)\|f^*\|^2 \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_{|f^*(x)|\le t\|f\|} |f^*(x)|^2\,dx \le \Theta(t+\delta)\|f^*\|^2 \tag{4.4}$$

$$\int_{|x|\ge t^{-1}} |f^*(x)|^2\,dx \le \Theta(t+\delta)\|f^*\|^2 \tag{4.5}$$

$$\int_{|x|\le t} |f^*(x)|^2\,dx \le \Theta(t+\delta)\|f^*\|^2. \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, the same conclusions hold with f,f^* replaced by g,g^* respectively, where $g^*(x) = \rho^{d/2} g(\rho x)$ with the same value of ρ as for f.

Proof. We may assume throughout that $\delta \le \delta_0(d)$ where $\delta_0(d)$ is positive but may be chosen as small as desired. By multiplying f,g independently by positive constants we may assume without loss of generality that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$. The existence of ρ for which the first two conclusions hold simultaneously for f and for g, follows from Lemma 3.1 via the reasoning in [3].

By dilation invariance of the inequality, we may replace f by $f^*(x) = \rho^{d/2} f(\rho x)$ and g by $g^*(x) = \rho^{d/2} g(\rho x)$ without affecting the hypotheses. Therefore ρ may be taken to equal 1 henceforth. The fourth conclusion for f is now a simple consequence of the first.

To obtain the third conclusion for f let $\lambda < \infty$ be a parameter to be chosen below and let

$$A = \left\{x : \lambda^{-1} \le |f(x)| \le \lambda\right\} \quad\text{and}\quad A_t = \left\{x \in A : |x| \ge t^{-1}\right\}.$$

Decompose $f = f_0 + f_1$ where $f_1(x) = f(x)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus A}$. Then $|f| \le \lambda\mathbf{1}_A + |f_1|$. Further decompose $f_0 = f_{00} + f_{01}$ where $f_{01} = f_0\mathbf{1}_{A_t}$.

Likewise define

$$B = \left\{x : \lambda^{-1} \le |g(x)| \le \lambda\right\} \quad\text{and}\quad B_t = \left\{x \in B : |x| \ge t^{-1}\right\},$$

and decompose $g = g_0 + g_1$ where $g_1(x) = g(x)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus B}$. Then $|g| \le \lambda\mathbf{1}_B + |g_1|$. Likewise decompose $g_0 = g_{00} + g_{01}$ where $g_{01} = g_0\mathbf{1}_{B_t}$.

The first two conclusions together imply that $\|f_1\|+\|g_1\| = o_{\delta+\lambda^{-1}}(1)$. Therefore $\|\mathbb{P}(f_0\otimes g_0)\| \ge (1-\delta-o_{\delta+t}(1))\|f_0\|\|g_0\|$.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{P}(f_{01}\otimes g_0)\|^2 &\le \|\mathbb{P}(\lambda\mathbf{1}_{A_t}\otimes\lambda\mathbf{1}_B)\|^2\\ &= \lambda^2\omega_d\int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A_t\times B)^2\, r^{2d-1}\,dr\\ &= \lambda^2\omega_d\int_{t^{-1}}^\infty \sigma_r(A_t\times B)^2\, r^{2d-1}\,dr\end{aligned}$$

with the last line holding because $(x,y)\in A_t\times B \Rightarrow |x|\ge t^{-1} \Rightarrow |(x,y)|\ge t^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{P}(f_{01}\otimes g_0)\|^2 &\le \lambda^2\omega_d(t^d|A_t|)^{1/2}\int_0^\infty \sigma_r(A_t\times B)\, r^{2d-1}\,dr\\ &\le \lambda^2(t^d|A|)^{1/2}|A|\cdot|B|.\\ &= \lambda^2 t^{d/2}|A|^{3/2}|B|.\end{aligned}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, $|A|\le\lambda^2\|f\|^2=\lambda^2$ and likewise $|B|\le\lambda^2$. Therefore

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f_{01}\otimes g_0)\|^2 \le C\lambda^7 t^{d/2}.$$

Choose $\lambda = t^{-d/28}$ to obtain $\|\mathbb{P}(f_{01}\otimes g_0)\|\le Ct^{d/8}$. Likewise $\|\mathbb{P}(f_{00}\otimes g_{01})\|\le Ct^{d/8}$. Therefore

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \le \|\mathbb{P}(f_{00}\otimes g_{00})\| + o_{t+\delta}(1).$$

The right-hand side in this last inequality is $\le \|f_{00}\|\|g_{00}\|+o_{t+\delta}(1)$. By hypothesis, the left-hand side is $\ge 1-\delta$. Therefore

$$\|f_{00}\|\|g_{00}\| \ge 1-o_{t+\delta}(1).$$

From this together with the identity $1=\|f\|^2=\|f_{00}\|^2+\|f_{01}\|^2+\|f_1\|^2$ and the inequality $\|g_{00}\|\le\|g\|=1$, it follows that $\|f_{01}\|=o_{t+\delta}(1)$. Since f_{00} is supported where $|x|\le t^{-1}$ and $\|f_1\|=o_{t+\delta}(1)$, the third conclusion follows for f. The same reasoning applies to g. □

Define the Fourier transform by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x\cdot\xi} f(x)\,dx. \tag{4.7}$$

This is a bijective isometry on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any* $f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\big(\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\big)^\wedge = \mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g}) \tag{4.8}$$

where the left-hand side is the $\mathbb{R}^{d+d}$ *Fourier transform of* $\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)$. *Consequently*

$$\|\mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g})\| = \|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\|. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. $\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)$ is the unique function $h\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+d})$ of norm 1 that maximizes $\mathrm{Re}\,(\langle f\otimes g,\, h\rangle)$. This quantity is equal by Plancherel's theorem to

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(\langle\widehat{f\otimes g},\,\widehat{h}\rangle) = \mathrm{Re}\,(\langle\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g},\,\widehat{h}\rangle).$$

Since $\widehat{h}$ is also radial and has norm 1,

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(\langle\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g},\widehat{h}\rangle) = \mathrm{Re}\,(\langle\mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g}),\widehat{h}\rangle) \le \|\widehat{f}\|\cdot\|\widehat{g}\|$$

Thus we have shown that $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \le \|\mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g})\|$. The same reasoning gives the converse inequality, so

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| = \|\mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g})\|,$$

and h is the closest radial function of norm 1 to $f\otimes g$ if and only if $\widehat{h}$ is the closest radial function of norm 1 to $\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g}$. Thus $(\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g))^\wedge = \mathbb{P}(\widehat{f}\otimes\widehat{g})$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $d \ge 1$. There exists a continuous function $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to 0}\Theta(t) = 0$ with the following property. For any $\delta > 0$ and any nonzero functions $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfy $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \ge (1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$, there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that if $f^*(x) = \rho^{d/2}f(\rho x)$ and $g^*(x) = \rho^{d/2}g(\rho x)$ then $\widehat{f^*}$ and $\widehat{g^*}$ satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 4.2.*

If $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \ge (1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$ then f, g satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 4.2 for some $\rho > 0$, while $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$ also satisfy these conclusions, with respect to some other $\rho' \in \mathbb{R}^+$. It is clear from the uncertainty principle, broadly construed, that the product $\rho\rho'$ is bounded below by a constant that depends only on d and on the auxiliary function Θ. The next step is to show that this product is necessarily bounded above. The following lemma will be used for this purpose.

Lemma 4.5. *For any $d \ge 1$ and any continuous function $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\Theta(t) = 0$ there exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and $C \in [1,\infty)$ with the following property. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a nonnegative function with positive norm which satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 4.2 with $\rho = 1$, with $\delta = \delta_0$, and with this auxiliary function Θ. Then*

$$\int_{|\xi|\le C} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi \ge C^{-1}\|f\|^2 \tag{4.10}$$

$$\int_{|\xi|\le C^{-1}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi \le \tfrac{1}{2}\|f\|^2. \tag{4.11}$$

To clarify the statement: The conclusions of Lemma 4.2 are stated in terms of $f^*(x) = \rho^{d/2}f(\rho x)$. The hypothesis of Lemma 4.5 is that if ρ is taken to equal 1 then f^* satisfies the four inequalities stated as conclusions of that lemma.

The first conclusion (4.10) implies that the dilated function $\xi \mapsto s^{d/2}\widehat{f(s\xi)}$ cannot satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 4.2 with parameter s very large. The second conclusion (4.11) implies that s cannot be very snmall. Thus if ρ, ρ' are as discussed above and if we dilate so that $\rho = 1$, then ρ' is bounded both above and below by finite positive constants which depend only on the dimension d and on a choice of an auxiliary function Θ satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.5. To prove (4.10) consider the auxiliary function $G(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2}$. Assume without loss of generality that $\|f\| = 1$. Provided that δ is sufficiently small, the nonnegativity of f, the lower bound $\|f\| \ge 1$, and the upper bounds provided by the conclusions of Lemma 4.2 together provide a lower bound for $\int fG$. But since $G = \widehat{G}$, $\int fG = \int f\widehat{G} = \int \widehat{f}G$. Therefore $\int e^{-\pi|\xi|^2}\widehat{f}(\xi)\,d\xi \ge \eta$ for some positive constant η which depends only on the dimension d. This easily implies (4.10) since $\|\widehat{f}\| \le 1$.

To prove (4.11) let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be large and consider

$$\int |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 e^{-\lambda\pi|\xi|^2}\,d\xi = \lambda^{-d/2}\iint f(x)f(y)e^{-\pi|x-y|^2/\lambda}\,dx\,dy. \tag{4.12}$$

The right-hand side is majorized by a constant, uniformly for all functions that satisfy $\|f\| \le 1$. If f is supported in any fixed bounded region then the right-hand side is $O(\lambda^{-d/2}\|f\|^2)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. It follows readily that if f satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 4.2 with $\rho = 1$, and if $\|f\| \le 1$, then the right-hand side of (4.12) is majorized by a function of λ that tends to zero as $\lambda \to \infty$. Therefore the same goes for the left-hand side. Now

$$\int |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 e^{-\lambda\pi|\xi|^2}\,d\xi \ge c\int_{|\xi|\ge\lambda^{-1/2}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi$$

with $c > 0$ independent of λ, establishing (4.11). □

This type of argument, exploiting nonnegativity, is made in greater detail in [6].

Let $d \ge 1$ and let $\delta > 0$. Let $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function satisfying $\lim_{t\to 0}\Theta(t) = 0$. We say that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with positive norm is (δ,Θ)–normalized if $f^* = f$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 4.2.

Proposition 4.6. *For each $d \ge 1$ there exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and a continuous function $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to 0}\Theta(t) = 0$ with the following property. Let $\delta \in (0,\delta_0]$. Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ have positive norms, and assume that f is nonnegative. Suppose that $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \ge (1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$. Then there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the functions $f^*(x) = \rho^{d/2}f(\rho x)$ and $g^*(x) = \rho^{d/2}g(\rho x)$, and the Fourier transforms of f^*, g^*, are (δ,Θ)–normalized.*

Proof. Lemma 4.5 forces the parameter ρ in Corollary 4.4 to be comparable to 1 if f is δ–normalized for sufficiently small δ. □

The reasoning did not require an assumption that both functions f, g were nonnegative, because Lemma 4.2 says that f, g are localized at a common scale, and likewise $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$ are localized at a (second) common scale. Therefore once $f, \widehat{f}$ are shown to be localized at a pair of scales ρ, ρ' satisfying $\rho\rho' \asymp 1$, the same follows for g.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $d \ge 1$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ with the following property. If $0 \ne f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nonnegative, if $0 \ne g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and if $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\| \ge (1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$ then*

$$\operatorname{dist}\left((f,g),\mathfrak{G}^\times\right) < \varepsilon\|(f,g)\|. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a sequence of pairs (f_n, g_n) of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\|f_n\| \equiv \|g_n\| \equiv 1$, $\|\mathbb{P}(f_n\otimes g_n)\| \to 1$, f_n is nonnegative, and the distance $\operatorname{dist}\left((f_n,g_n),\mathfrak{G}^\times\right)$ from (f_n,g_n) to the set $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ of all (F, cF) with $F \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $0 \ne c \in \mathbb{C}$ is bounded below by a positive quantity independent of n.

By Proposition 4.6 there exist sequences of numbers $\rho_n, \delta_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and an auxiliary function Θ satisfying $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\Theta(t) = 0$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\delta_n = 0$ and the sequences of functions $f_n^*(x) = \rho_n^{d/2}f_n(\rho_n x)$ and $g_n^*(x) = \rho_n^{d/2}g_n(\rho_n x)$ are (δ_n,Θ)–normalized. Moreover, the Fourier transforms $\widehat{f_n^*}, \widehat{g_n^*}$ are also (δ_n,Θ)–normalized. By Rellich's Lemma, the sequences $(f_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N})$ and $(g_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N})$ are each precompact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers n_k such that the subsequences $f_{n_k}^*, g_{n_k}^*$ converge in L^2 norm to limits $f_\infty, g_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively.

Now $\|f_\infty\| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_n^*\| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_n\| = 1$. Moreover, since $\mathbb{P} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a bounded linear operator,

$$\|\mathbb{P}(f_\infty\otimes g_\infty)\| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbb{P}(f_n^*\otimes g_n^*)\| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbb{P}(f_n\otimes g_n)\| = 1.$$

Therefore $(f_\infty, g_\infty) \in \mathfrak{G}^\times$. In particular, f_∞, g_∞ are radial complex Gaussians. This contradicts the assumption that the distance from f_n to $\mathfrak{G}$ does not tend to zero. □

Since the functions f_n are nonnegative, f_∞ is necessarily close in norn to a *positive* Gaussian function in this argument. Therefore the conclusion can be refined: There exists a positive Gaussian F such that $\|f-F\|\le\varepsilon\|f\|$. □

Lemma 4.8. *For any functions $f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\|\mathbb{P}(|f|\otimes|g|)\|\ge\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\|. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. If $h\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ is radial then so is $|h|$.

$$\|\,|f|\otimes|g|-|h|\,\| = \|\,\big|f\otimes g\big|-|h|\,\|\le\|f\otimes g-h\|.$$

□

The next result is identical to Corollary 4.7, except that the restriction to nonnegative functions is removed.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $d\ge 1$. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ with the following property. If $0\ne f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\|\ge(1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$ then there exists a radial complex Gaussian G such that $\|f-G\|\le\varepsilon\|f\|$ and $\|g-cG\|\le\varepsilon\|g\|$, where $c=\|g\|/\|f\|$.*

Proof. Let the pair (f,g) satisfy the hypotheses for some small $\delta>0$, and assume without loss of generality that $\|f\|=\|g\|=1$. By Lemma 4.8, the pair $(|f|,|g|)$ satisfies the hypotheses, with the same parameter δ. Corollary 4.7 guarantees that there exists a positive Gaussian function F such that $\|(|f|,|g|)-(F,F)\|$ is small. By exploiting dilations we may reduce to the case in which $F(x)=e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}$.

Express $f=e^{i\varphi}|f|$ and $g=e^{i\psi}|g|$ where φ,ψ are Lebesgue measurable real-valued functions. Set $\tilde f=e^{i\varphi}F$ and $\tilde g=e^{i\psi}F$. Then $\|(f,g)-(\tilde f,\tilde g)\|$ is small, so $\|\mathbb{P}(\tilde f\otimes\tilde g)\|$ is nearly equal to $\|f\|\|g\|$ and hence nearly equal to $\|\tilde f\|\|\tilde g\|$.

Let $\varepsilon>0,\delta>0$. Choose $R\ge1$ sufficiently large that $\iint_{|(x,y)|>R/2}e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|)^2}\,dx\,dy<\varepsilon$. Suppose that $\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\|\ge(1-\delta)\|f\|\|g\|$ and $\|(|f|,|g|)-(F,F)\|<\delta$. If δ is sufficiently small then there exists a function h such that

$$\iint_{|(x,y)|\le2R}\big|e^{i[\varphi(x)+\psi(y)]}e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|^2)/2}-h(|(x,y)|)\big|^2\,dx\,dy<e^{-2\pi R^2-R}\varepsilon.$$

The same holds with any R–dependent constant factor; this factor is chosen for the sake of convenience below. The same bound follows with $h(t)=e^{i\xi(t)}|h(t)|$ replaced by $e^{i\xi(t)}e^{-\pi|t|^2/2}$, with ε replaced by 2ε, for some real-valued measurable function ξ.

By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\big|\{z=(x,y):|z|\le2R\text{ and }|e^{i[\varphi(x)+\psi(y)-\xi(|z|)]}-1|\ge\varepsilon^{1/4}\}\big|\le e^{-R}\varepsilon^{1/2}, \tag{4.15}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes Lebesgue measure. By choosing a typical value of y one concludes that there exists a real-valued measurable function $\tilde\varphi$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\big|\{x\in\mathbb{R}^d:|x|\le R\text{ and }|e^{i[\varphi(x)}-e^{i\tilde\varphi(|x|^2)]}|\ge\varepsilon^{1/4}\}\big|\le Ce^{-R}\varepsilon^{1/2}. \tag{4.16}$$

Indeed, this holds with

$$\tilde\varphi(|x|^2)=\xi((|x|^2+|y|^2)^{1/2})-\psi(y)$$

for any typical value of y since $\big|e^{i\varphi(x)}-e^{i[\xi(|x|^2+|y|^2)-\psi(y)]}\big|$ is small for nearly all x for typical y. By the same reasoning, $e^{i\psi(y)}$ is nearly equal in the same sense to $e^{i\tilde\psi(|y|^2)}$ for some real-valued measurable function $\tilde\psi$.

Thus for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\big|\{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ : |(s,t))| \le R^2 \text{ and } |e^{i[\tilde\varphi(s)+\tilde\psi(t)-\xi(\sqrt{s+t})]} - 1| \ge 2\varepsilon^{1/4}\}\big| \le C\eta^{2d} + C\eta^{-(2d-1)}e^{-R}\varepsilon^{1/2}. \tag{4.17}$$

Here $|\cdot|$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$, restricted to the quadrant $\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+$. Choosing η to be an appropriate power of $e^{-R}\varepsilon$ yields an upper bound $Ce^{-cR}\varepsilon^c$ for some $c, C \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Proposition 8.2 of [3] is concerned with ordered triples of functions $(\tilde\varphi, \tilde\psi, \tilde\xi)$ for which $\tilde\varphi(s) + \tilde\psi(t) - \tilde\xi(s+t)$ is nearly zero for nearly all ordered pairs (s,t) in an interval. By applying this proposition with $\tilde\xi(t) = \xi(t^{1/2})$ we conclude that there exists an affine function L such that

$$\big|\{s \in [0, R^2/4] : |e^{i\tilde\varphi(s)} - e^{iL(s)}| \ge C\varepsilon^{1/4}\}\big| \le Ce^{-cR}\varepsilon^c. \tag{4.18}$$

Replacing L by its real part does not worsen the approximation since $\tilde\varphi$ is real-valued and hence $e^{i\tilde\varphi}$ is unimodular, so we may assume that L is real-valued. The favorable factor e^{-cR} on the right-hand side makes it possible to overcome the power r^{d-1} that appears in the polar coordinate expression for Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$ to conclude that

$$\big|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \le \tfrac{1}{2}R \text{ and } |e^{i\varphi(x)} - e^{iL(|x|^2)}| \ge C\varepsilon^{1/4}\}\big| \le C\varepsilon^c. \tag{4.19}$$

Thus f is nearly equal to the Gaussian function $G(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}e^{iL(|x|^2)}$. The same reasoning applies to g, which is consequently nearly equal to a Gaussian function $\tilde G(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}e^{i\tilde L(|x|^2)}$, where $\tilde L$ is another real-valued affine function.

Now $\|\mathbb{P}(G\otimes\tilde G)\|$ is nearly equal to $\|G\|\|\tilde G\|$ since $(G,\tilde G)$ is nearly equal to (f,g). Thus

$$e^{i[L(|x|^2)+\tilde L(|y|^2)]} \approx e^{i\xi(|x|^2+|y|^2)}, \tag{4.20}$$

where $\approx$ denotes approximate equality in weighted L^2 norm with weight $e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|^2)}$. Express $L(|x|^2) = \alpha'|x|^2 + \beta'$, $\tilde L(|y|^2) = \alpha''|y|^2 + \tilde\beta''$, and $\xi(|z|^2) = \alpha|z|^2 + \beta$. By choosing a typical value of y and regarding both sides as functions of x we conclude that α' is approximately equal to α. Reversing the roles of the variables proves that α'' is also approximately equal to α, whence α', α'' are approximately equal. □

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Remark 4.1. Young's convolution inequality and the Hausdorff-Young inequality are strongly bound up with additive structure, and the analyses of near extremizers of each of these inequalities [3],[5] relied on information from additive combinatorics. Additive structure apparently plays a less central role in the present work, but is the basis for the proof of Corollary 4.9.

5. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Define

$$F(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This function satisfies $\|F\| = 1$.

Define a bounded linear operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(y)\,\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F)(x,y)\,dy. \tag{5.1}$$

This operator is related to the projection $\mathbb{P}$ by the identity

$$\langle \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),\, \mathbb{P}(g\otimes F)\rangle = \langle Tf, g\rangle. \tag{5.2}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),\,\mathbb{P}(g\otimes F)\rangle &= \langle \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),\,g\otimes F\rangle\\ &= \iint \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F)(x,y)\overline{g}(x)F(y)\,dx\,dy = \int Tf(x)\,\overline{g}(x)\,dx = \langle Tf,g\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

For any $f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\equiv\mathbb{P}(g\otimes f)$. Since $\langle \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),\,\mathbb{P}(g\otimes F)\rangle$ is the complex conjugate of‘ $\langle \mathbb{P}(g\otimes F),\,\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F)\rangle$, it follows from (5.2) that T is self-adjoint.

Define $\mathcal{R}\subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to be the subspace consisting of all radial functions, which is the closure of the span of all functions $|x|^{2m}e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}$, where $m\in\{0,1,2,\dots\}$. The range of T is contained in $\mathcal{R}$. Indeed, if $g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is orthogonal to all radial functions then $g\otimes F$ is orthogonal to all radial functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+d})$, so

$$\langle Tf,g\rangle = \langle \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),\mathbb{P}(g\otimes F)\rangle = \langle \mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),0\rangle = 0$$

for all $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since T is self-adjoint, T vanishes identically on $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, as well.

We require an understanding of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T. The relevant information is contained in the next result, together with the fact that $T\equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{R}$ consisting of eigenfunctions of T of the form*

$$\Big\{\psi_m(x) = q_m(|x|^2)e^{-\pi|x|^2/2} : m=0,1,2,\dots\Big\} \tag{5.3}$$

where q_m is a polynomial of degree exactly m. The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{d,m} = \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac12 d)}{\Gamma(m+d)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(\frac12 d)} \quad \text{for } m=0,1,2,\dots. \tag{5.4}$$

Throughout the analysis we represent elements of $\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$ as $z=(x,y)$ where $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $G(z)=e^{-\pi|z|^2/2}$, so that $G=F\otimes F=\mathbb{P}(F\otimes F)$. Denote elements $\alpha\in\{0,1,2,\dots\}^d$ by $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d)$ and write $|\alpha|=\sum_{j=1}^d\alpha_j$. $x^\alpha=\prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{\alpha_j}$, and $x^\alpha F$ indicates the function $x\mapsto x^\alpha F(x)$.

Lemma 5.2. *$T(x^\alpha F)=0$ for any $\alpha\in\{0,1,2,\dots\}^d\setminus\{0,2,4,\dots\}^d$. For any $\alpha\in\{0,2,4,\dots\}^d$, there exists a polynomial $Q:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$ of degree exactly $|\alpha|/2$ such that*

$$T(x^\alpha F) = Q(|x|^2)F(x). \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. $\mathbb{P}(x^\alpha F\otimes F)$ is the projection onto the radial subspace of $x^\alpha F(x)F(y)=x^\alpha G(z)$. Clearly $\mathbb{P}(x^\alpha G(x,y))$ is a scalar multiple of $|z|^{|\alpha|}G(z)$. Moreover, $\mathbb{P}(x^\alpha F\otimes F)=0$ if at least one component α_j is odd, because the integral over S^{2d-1} of any function that is odd with respect to one or more coordinate variables must vanish.

If $\alpha\in\{0,2,4,\dots\}^d$ then x^α is a nonnegative function which does not vanish identically, so for any $r\in\mathbb{R}^+$, $\int x^\alpha G(x,y)\,d\sigma_r(x,y) = G(x,y)\int x^\alpha\,d\sigma_r(x,y)$ is strictly positive. Therefore $\mathbb{P}(x^\alpha G)=c_{d,\alpha}|z|^{|\alpha|}G(z)$.

Continuing to assume that $\alpha \in \{0,2,4,\dots\}^d$, set $m = \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^{|\alpha|} G(z) F(y)\,dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(y) |(x,y)|^{2m} G(x,y)\,dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|y|^2/2} (|x|^2+|y|^2)^m e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|^2)/2}\,dy \\ &= q_m(|x|^2) e^{-\pi|x|^2/2} \\ &= q_m(|x|^2) F(x)\end{aligned}$$

where $q_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial of degree exactly m. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We have shown that

$$e^{\pi|x|^2/2} T(|x|^{2m} e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}) = \lambda_{d,m} |x|^{2m} \text{ plus a polynomial in } |x|^2 \text{ of lower degree,} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\lambda_{d,m} \neq 0$ for each nonnegative integer m. The Gram-Schmidt procedure therefore constructs an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{R}$ consisting of eigenfunctions of T of the indicated form.

The corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{d,m}$ equals the coefficient of the highest power of $|x|^{2m}$ in the polynomial $e^{\pi|x|^2/2} T(|x|^{2m} e^{-\pi|x|^2/2})$. To compute this coefficient write

$$\mathbb{P}((|x|^{2m} F) \otimes F)(z) = \gamma_{m,d} |z|^{2m} e^{-\pi|z|^2/2} \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$\gamma_{m,d} = \int_{S^{2d-1}} |x|^{2m}\,d\sigma(x,y) \tag{5.8}$$

where $S^{2d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+d}$ is the unit sphere and σ is surface measure on S^{2d-1}, normalized so that $\sigma(S^{2d-1}) = 1$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}T(|x|^{2m} F) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|y|^2/2} \mathbb{P}((|x|^{2m} F) \otimes F)(x,y)\,dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|y|^2/2} \gamma_{m,d} (|x|^2+|y|^2)^m e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|^2)/2}\,dy \\ &= \big(\gamma_{m,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|y|^2}\,dy |x|^{2m} + O(|x|^{2m-2})\big) e^{-\pi|x|^2/2} \\ &= \big(\gamma_{m,d} |x|^{2m} + O(|x|^{2m-2})\big) e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}\end{aligned}$$

where $O(|x|^{2m-2})$ denotes a polynomial in $|x|^2$ of degree at most $2m-2$ as a polynomial in x. Thus $\lambda_{m,d} = \gamma_{m,d}$.

Define ω_n by the relation $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(|z|)\,dz = \omega_n \int_0^\infty g(r) r^{n-1}\,dr$. One can compute $\gamma_{m,d}$ by writing

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+d}} e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|^2)/2} |x|^{2m}\,dx\,dy &= \omega_{2d} \gamma_{m,d} \int_0^\infty r^{2d+2m} e^{-\pi r^2/2}\, r^{-1}\,dr \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \omega_{2d} \gamma_{m,d} (\pi/2)^{-d-m} \int_0^\infty s^{d+m} e^{-s}\, s^{-1}\,ds \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \omega_{2d} \gamma_{m,d} (\pi/2)^{-d-m} \Gamma(m+d).\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side can be alternatively be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+d}} e^{-\pi(|x|^2+|y|^2)/2}|x|^{2m}\,dx\,dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}|x|^{2m}\,dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi|y|^2/2}\,dy \\ &= \tfrac12\omega_d(\pi/2)^{-m-\frac12 d}\Gamma(m+\tfrac12 d)\cdot\tfrac12\omega_d(\pi/2)^{-d/2}\Gamma(\tfrac12 d).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_{0,d}=1$, the same calculation with $m=0$ gives $1=\frac12\omega_d(\pi/2)^{-d/2}\Gamma(d/2)$. Therefore

$$\gamma_{m,d} = \frac{2^{-2}\omega_d^2\Gamma(m+\frac12 d)\Gamma(\frac12 d)}{2^{-1}\omega_{2d}\Gamma(m+d)} = \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac12 d)\Gamma(\frac12 d)}{\Gamma(m+d)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(\frac12 d)^2} = \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac12 d)}{\Gamma(m+d)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(\frac12 d)}.$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *If $f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy $\langle f,\psi_0\rangle = \langle g,\psi_0\rangle = 0$ and $\langle f+g,\psi_1\rangle = 0$ then*

$$\|Tf\|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\,\langle Tf,g\rangle + \|Tg\|^2 \le \frac{d+2}{2(d+1)}\|(f,g)\|^2. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. For $m\in\{0,1,2,\dots\}$ let ψ_m be L^2–normalized eigenfunctions of T with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{m,d}$ discussed above, and $\psi_0=F$.

For fixed dimension d, the eigenvalue $\gamma_{m,d}$ is a decreasing function of m. Indeed,

$$\frac{\gamma_{m+1,d}}{\gamma_{m,d}} = \frac{m+\frac12 d}{m+d}$$

according to (5.4) and the functional equation of the Gamma function. The leading eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{0,d}=1, \qquad \lambda_{1,d} = \frac{d/2}{d}\lambda_{0,d} = \tfrac12, \qquad \lambda_{2,d} = \frac{1+\frac12 d}{1+d}\lambda_{1,d} = \frac{d+2}{4(d+1)}.$$

Decompose

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \widehat{f}(m)\psi_m + \tilde f \qquad\text{and}\qquad g = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \widehat{g}(m)\psi_m + \tilde g$$

where $\tilde f,\tilde g\perp\mathcal{R}$. It is given that $\widehat{f}(0)=\widehat{g}(0)=0$ and that $\widehat{g}(1) = -\widehat{f}(1)$. Then

$$\langle Tg,f\rangle = \langle T(g-\tilde g),(f-\tilde f)\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \lambda_{m,d}\widehat{g}(m)\overline{\widehat{f}(m)}$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = \|\tilde f\|^2 + \sum_m |\widehat{f}(m)|^2 \qquad\text{and}\qquad \|g\|^2 = \|\tilde g\|^2 + \sum_m |\widehat{g}(m)|^2.$$

Since $\widehat{f}(1)=\widehat{g}(1)=0$ and $\widehat{f}(1)+\widehat{g}(1)=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tf\|^2+2\operatorname{Re}\langle Tf,g\rangle+\|Tg\|^2 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\Big(\lambda_{m,d}\big(|\widehat{f}(m)|^2+|\widehat{g}(m)|^2+2\operatorname{Re}\big(\widehat{f}(m)\overline{\widehat{g}(m)}\big)\Big)\\ &= \tfrac12|\widehat{f}(1)+\widehat{g}(1)|^2+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\lambda_{m,d}|\widehat{f}(m)+\widehat{g}(m)|^2\\ &\le \frac{d+2}{4(d+1)}\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(m)+\widehat{g}(m)|^2\\ &\le \frac{d+2}{2(d+1)}\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}(|\widehat{f}(m)|^2+|\widehat{g}(m)|^2)\\ &\le \frac{d+2}{2(d+1)}\,\|(f,g)\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

6. PERTURBATION ANALYSIS

For nonzero $f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ define

$$\Phi(f,g)=\frac{\|\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g)\|^2}{\|f\|^2\|g\|^2}. \tag{6.1}$$

Continue to let $F(x)=e^{-\pi|x|^2/2}$.

Suppose that the ratio of the distance of (u,v) to $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ to the norm of (u,v) is small, and that the closest element of $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ to (u,v) is (F,F). Then the first variation at $(r,s,t)=0$ of $\|u-e^{r|x|^2+s}F\|^2+\|v-e^tF\|^2$ with respect to (r,s,t) must vanish. Therefore (u,v) can be expressed in the form $(u,v)=(F+f,F+g)$ where (f,g) is unique and satisfies

$$\langle f,F\rangle=\langle g,F\rangle=\langle f+g,\,|x|^2F\rangle=0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\langle f,\psi_0\rangle=\langle g,\psi_0\rangle=\langle f+g,\,\psi_1\rangle=0. \tag{6.2}$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{P}(u\otimes v)\|^2 &= \|F\|^4+2\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),F\otimes F\rangle+2\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathbb{P}(F\otimes g),F\otimes F\rangle\\ &\quad+2\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g),F\otimes F\rangle+2\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),F\otimes g\rangle\\ &\quad+\langle\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F)\rangle+\langle\mathbb{P}(F\otimes g),\mathbb{P}(F\otimes g)\rangle\ +O(\|(f,g)\|^3)\end{aligned}$$

as $\|(f,g)\|\to 0$. Observe that

$$\langle\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),F\otimes F\rangle=\langle f\otimes F,\mathbb{P}(F\otimes F)\rangle=\langle f\otimes F,F\otimes F\rangle=\langle f,F\rangle\cdot\langle F,F\rangle=0$$

and likewise $\langle\mathbb{P}(g\otimes F),F\otimes F\rangle=0$. Invoking the identity $\langle\mathbb{P}(f\otimes F),F\otimes g\rangle=\langle Tf,g\rangle$. and using the relations $\langle f,F\rangle=\langle g,F\rangle=0$ we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{P}(u\otimes v)\|^2=1+2\operatorname{Re}\langle Tf,g\rangle+\langle Tf,f\rangle+\langle T(g),g\rangle+O(\|(f,g)\|^3).$$

On the other hand,

$$\|u\|^2\|v\|^2=1+\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2+O(\|(f,g)\|^4)$$

since $f, g \perp F$. Therefore

$$\frac{\|\mathbb{P}(u\otimes v)\|^2}{\|u\|^2\|v\|^2} = 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Tf, g\rangle + \langle Tf, f\rangle + \langle T(g), g\rangle - \|(f,g)\|^2 + O(\|(f,g)\|^3).$$

The inequality under investigation here has a useful group of symmetries. If $\delta_r(f)(x) = f(rx)$, then $\mathbb{P}(\delta_r(f)\otimes\delta_r(g)) = \delta_r(\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g))$ where δ_r acts on functions with domain $\mathbb{R}^d$ on the left-hand side of the equation, and on functions with domain $\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$ on the right. Likewise if $e_t(f)(x) = e^{it|x|^2}f(x)$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$ then $\mathbb{P}(e_t(f)\otimes e_t(g)) = e_t(\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g))$. Of course $\mathbb{P}(c'f\otimes c''g) = c'c''(\mathbb{P}(f\otimes g))$ for scalars $c', c''\in\mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(u,v)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that the closest element of the closed subspace $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ of $L^2\times L^2$ to (u,v) is (F,F), and that the distance from (u,v) to (F,F) is much less than $\|(u,v)\|$. The orthogonality relations (6.2) are consequently satisfied by $(f,g) = (u-F, v-F)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\mathbb{P}(u\otimes v)\|^2}{\|u\|^2\|v\|^2} &= 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Tf, g\rangle + \langle Tf, f\rangle + \langle T(g), g\rangle - \|(f,g)\|^2 + O(\|(f,g)\|^3)\\ &\le 1 + \frac{d+2}{2(d+1)}\|(f,g)\|^2 - \|(f,g)\|^2 + O(\|(f,g)\|^3)\\ &\le 1 - \frac{d}{2(d+1)}\|(f,g)\|^2 + O(\|(f,g)\|^3)\\ &= 1 - \frac{d}{2(d+1)}\operatorname{dist}((u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2 + O(\operatorname{dist}((u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^3).\end{aligned}$$

Consider next a general ordered pair $(u,v)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$, with the distance from (u,v) to $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ sufficiently small. The closest point in $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ to (u,v) may be expressed as $(aT(F), bT(F))$ where T is a norm-preserving element of the group of transformations of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by the e_t and $r^{d/2}\delta_r$, and $a,b\in\mathbb{C}$. Therefore the closest element of $\mathfrak{G}^\times$ to $(\tilde u,\tilde v) = (a^{-1}T^{-1}(u), b^{-1}T^{-1}(v))$ is (F,F). We have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\mathbb{P}(u\otimes v)\|^2}{\|u\|^2\|v\|^2} &= \frac{\|\mathbb{P}(\tilde u\otimes\tilde v)\|^2}{\|\tilde u\|^2\|\tilde v\|^2}\\ &\le 1 - \frac{d}{2(d+1)}\operatorname{dist}((\tilde u,\tilde v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2 + O(\operatorname{dist}((\tilde u,\tilde v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^3)\\ &= 1 - \frac{d}{2(d+1)}\operatorname{dist}((a^{-1}u, b^{-1}v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2 + O(\operatorname{dist}((a^{-1}u, b^{-1}v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^3).\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$1 = \|u\|^2 = |a|^2\|F\|^2 + \|u - aT(F)\|^2 = |a|^2 + \|u - aT(F)\|^2,$$

so $|a|^2 = 1 - \|u - aT(F)\|^2$ and consequently $|a^{-1}| = 1 + O(\operatorname{dist}(u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2$. Likewise $|b^{-1}| = 1 + O(\operatorname{dist}(u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{dist}((a^{-1}u, b^{-1}v),\mathfrak{G}^\times) = \operatorname{dist}((u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times) + O(\operatorname{dist}((u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2.$$

Inserting these estimates into the above result gives

$$\frac{\|\mathbb{P}(u\otimes v)\|^2}{\|u\|^2\|v\|^2} = 1 - \frac{d}{2(d+1)}\operatorname{dist}((u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^2 + O(\operatorname{dist}(u,v),\mathfrak{G}^\times)^3) \tag{6.3}$$

as was to be shown. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] G. Bianchi and H. Egnell, *A note on the Sobolev inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), no. 1, 1824.

[2] S. Chen, R. L. Frank, and T. Werth, *Remainder terms in the fractional Sobolev inequality*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 62 (2013), no. 4, 1381-1397

[3] M. Christ, *Near extremizers of Young's inequality for* $\mathbb{R}^d$, preprint, math.CA arXiv:1112.4875

[4] ______, *Near equality in the Riesz-Sobolev inequality*, arXiv:1309.5856 math.CA, submitted

[5] ______, *A sharpened Hausdorff-Young inequality*, preprint, math.CA arXiv:1406.1210

[6] M. Christ and S. Shao, *Existence of extremals for a Fourier restriction inequality*, Anal. PDE 5 (2012), no. 2, 261–312.

[7] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971

MICHAEL CHRIST, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: `mchrist@berkeley.edu`